## Summer 2014 NSERC USRA Report Families of Forbidden Configurations Maxwell Allman

## August 29, 2014

This summer, I worked with Dr. Richard Anstee on problems in forbidden configurations, a topic of extremal set theory. The problems involved matrices whose entries are 0 or 1, and for convenience we assume all matrices are of this type. We say a matrix is simple if every column of the matrix is unique. For matrices A and F, we say A has F as a configuration if there is a submatrix of A which is a row and column permutation of F. Let F be a family of matrices. We say a matrix  $A \in Avoid(m, F)$  if A has A is simple, and for each A is simple, and for each A is a configuration. The central problem is the following: given a certain A, what is the maximum number of columns of a matrix A is A in A in

In our project, we defined a new operation between two families of k-rowed configurations  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ . For a matrix A we say  $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$  is a configuration in A if in some k-set of rows of A there is both a configuration from  $\mathcal{F}$  and a configuration from  $\mathcal{G}$ . For a family  $\mathcal{F}$  where forb $(m, \mathcal{F})$  is  $\Omega(m^k)$ , and a family  $\mathcal{G}$  where forb $(m, \mathcal{G})$  is O(1), we wondered if forb $(m, \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G})$  is  $O(m^k)$ .

Balogh and Bollobás proved the following constant bound where  $I_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix,  $I_k^c$  is the  $k \times k$  (0,1)-complement of  $I_k$  and  $T_k$  is the  $k \times k$  upper triangular (0,1)-matrix with a 1 in row i and column j if and only if  $i \leq j$ .

**Theorem 0.1** [1] Let k be given. Then there is a constant  $c_k$  so that  $forb(m, \{I_k, I_k^c, T_k\}) = c_k$ .

We defined the family  $\mathcal{G}_{k,t}$  which roughly corresponds to the matrices created by taking k rows of a large identity matrix, k rows of a large identity complement matrix, and k rows from a large triangular matrix. It is known that forb $(m, \mathcal{F})$  is O(1), following from the Balogh and Bollobás result.

We defined the family  $\mathcal{H}_{k,t}$  as the family containing a  $k \times t$  matrix of 0's and a  $k \times t$  matrix of 1's. We knew forb $(m, \mathcal{H}_{k,t})$  to be O(1), but this function is unusual in that it does not grow monotonically with m. We found exact results for the maximum of this function over all m for some values of k and t. Interestingly, we also found that for many k-rowed matrices F,  $\{F\} + \mathcal{G}_{k,t} = \{F\} + \mathcal{H}_{k,t}$ . The latter form is simpler to for the graph theory techniques.

## Graph Theory for Two-Rowed Forbidden Families

If a matrix  $A \in \text{Avoid}(m, \mathcal{F})$  for some family of two-rowed configurations  $\mathcal{F}$ , then each pair of rows in A has some relation that causes it to avoid  $\mathcal{F}$ . We can form a graph G(A) with the rows of A as vertices, and the edges as the relation between the two rows. Here is an example. For a given p, let

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \overbrace{11\cdots 1}^{p} \\ 00\cdots 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We know forb $(m, \{F\}) \approx \frac{(p+1)m}{2} + 2$ . Now we consider a matrix  $A \in \text{Avoid}(m, \{F\} + \mathcal{H}_k)$ .

Form the graph G(A), with the edges between rows i and j defined as follows: if F is not a configuration on A restricted to rows i and j, then  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , and if  $\mathcal{H}_k$  is not a configuration on A restricted to rows i and j, then i == j. Between any two rows, at least one of these edges must be present, otherwise  $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{H}_k$  is a configuration in A. The edges of G(A) restrict the columns that may be allowed in A, for example, for rows i, j, if i == j then there are at most 2(q-1) columns of A where the entry on row i is the same as the entry on row j. We found a list of small graph structure that may not occur in G(A) if the columns of A grows linearly with m. From the absence of these structure we deduced a large structure that must be present on G(A), and used this structure to show the upper bound forb $(m, \{F\} + \mathcal{H}_k) \leq \frac{(p+1)m}{2} + c$  for some constant c. We then found a construction which shows that forb $(m, \{F\} + \mathcal{H}_k) \geq \frac{(p+1)m}{2} + (q-p)$ , so the maximum number of columns allowed does indeed increase when  $\mathcal{H}_k$  is added to  $\{F\}$ , but only by a constant amount. We used this technique for other two-rowed F with forb(m, F) being O(m), and found the solution does not differ by more than a constant, unless F contains all 4 possible columns. Then  $(m, \{F\} + \mathcal{H}_k) \geq cmq$  for a constant  $\frac{1}{2} \leq c \leq 2$ .

## References

[1] J. Balogh, B. Bollobás, Unavoidable Traces of Set Systems, *Combinatorica*, **25** (2005), 633–643.